UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

AMENDED JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE		
Case Number: 05 CR 457 (ARR)		
USM Number: 73030-053		
MILDRED WHALEN ,ESQ Defendant's Attorney		
 Modification of Supervision Conditions (18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(c) or 3583(e)) Modification of Imposed Term of Imprisonment for Extraordinary and Compelling Reasons (18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)) Modification of Imposed Term of Imprisonment for Retroactive Amendment(s) to the Sentencing Guidelines (18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)) Direct Motion to District Court Pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 2255 or 18 U.S.C. § 3559(c)(7) Modification of Restitution Order (18 U.S.C. § 3664) 		
dictment.		
Offense Ended Count		
5/12/2005 2		
n of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to		
re dismissed on the motion of the United States.		
Attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, essments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, material changes in economic circumstances. 3/5/2012 Date of Imposition of Judgment /S/(ARR) Signature of Judge ALLYNE R. ROSS, US.D. Name of Judge 3/5/2012		
Date		

DEFENDANT: GLENN MARCUS CASE NUMBER: 05 CR 457 (ARR) IMPRISONMENT The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of SINETY SIX (96) MONTHS The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: HAT THE DEFT BE PLACED IN A MEDICAL CARE LEVEL THREE OR FOUR FACILITY. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at			Sheet 2 — Imprisonment	(NOTE: Identify Changes with Asteri	10
The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of INETY SIX (96) MONTHS The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: THAT THE DEFT BE PLACED IN A MEDICAL CARE LEVEL THREE OR FOUR FACILITY. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at				Judgment — Page <u>2</u> of	_10
The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: "HAT THE DEFT BE PLACED IN A MEDICAL CARE LEVEL THREE OR FOUR FACILITY. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at				IMPRISONMENT	
The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: THAT THE DEFT BE PLACED IN A MEDICAL CARE LEVEL THREE OR FOUR FACILITY. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at	tota			custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a	
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at	IINE	TY S	SIX (96) MONTHS		
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at	√	The	court makes the following recommend	ations to the Bureau of Prisons:	
☐ The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: ☐ at ☐ a.m ☐ p.m. on ☐ as notified by the United States Marshal. ☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: ☐ before 2 p.m. on ☐ as notified by the United States Marshal. ☐ as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN	ΉΑΤ	THE	E DEFT BE PLACED IN A MEDICAL C	ARE LEVEL THREE OR FOUR FACILITY.	
☐ The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: ☐ at ☐ a.m ☐ p.m. on ☐ as notified by the United States Marshal. ☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: ☐ before 2 p.m. on ☐ as notified by the United States Marshal. ☐ as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN					
as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal. as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN		The	e defendant is remanded to the custody of	of the United States Marshal.	
as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal. as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN		The	e defendant shall surrender to the United	l States Marshal for this district:	
☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: ☐ before 2 p.m. on ☐ as notified by the United States Marshal. ☐ as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN			at	a.m	
before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal. as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN			as notified by the United States Marshal.		
as notified by the United States Marshal. as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN		The	defendant shall surrender for service of sen	tence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:	
as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN			 	·	
RETURN			·		
		L	as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Se	rvices Office.	
I have executed this judgment as follows:				RETURN	
	I ha	ve ex	ecuted this judgment as follows:		

UNITED STATES MARSHAL

By DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

at _____ with a certified copy of this judgment.

(NOTE: Identify Changes with Asterisks (*))

DEFENDANT: GLENN MARCUS CASE NUMBER: 05 CR 457 (ARR)

3 10 Judgment-Page

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of

FIVE (5) YEARS.

The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime.

The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as determined by the court.

	The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of
	future substance abuse. (Check, if applicable.)
7	The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. (Check, if a

pplicable.)

The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable.)

The defendant shall register with the state sex offender registration agency in the state where the defendant resides, works, or is a student, as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable.)

The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (Check, if applicable.)

If this judgment imposes a fine or restitution, it is a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay in accordance with the Schedule of Payments sheet of this judgment.

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any additional conditions on the attached page.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 2) the defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities; 4)
- 5) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation, unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- the defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment, or if such prior notification is not possible, then within forty eight hours after such change;
- 7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony, unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer; 10)
- 11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the 12) permission of the court; and
- as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record, personal history, or characteristics and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

(NOTE: Identify Changes with Asterisks (*))

DEFENDANT: GLENN MARCUS CASE NUMBER: 05 CR 457 (ARR) Judgment—Page 4 of 10

ADDITIONAL SUPERVISED RELEASE TERMS

- 1) DEFT SHALL PARTICIPATE IN A MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT PROGRAM, WHICH MAY INCLUDE PARTICIPATION IN A TREATMENT PROGRAM FOR SEXUAL DISORDERS, AS APPROVED BY THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. DEFT SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE COST OF SUCH SERVICES RENDERED OR ANY PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATIONS PRESCRIBED VIA CO-PAYMENT OR FULL PAYMENT IN AN AMOUNT TO BE DETERMINED BY THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, BASED UPON THE THE DEFT'S ABILITY TO PAY AND/OR THE AVAILABILITY OF THIRD PARTY PAYMENT. AS PART OF THE TREATMENT PROGRAM FOR SEXUAL DISORDERS, THE DEFT SHALL PARTICIPATE IN POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR RISK MANAGEMENT AND CORRECTIONAL TREATMENT.
- 2) DEFT SHALL NOT HAVE CONTACT WITH THE VICTIM IN THE INSTANT OFFESNE. THIS MEANS THAT HE SHALL NOT ATTEMPT TO MEET IN PERSON, COMMUNICATE BY LETTER, TELEPHONE, OR THROUGH A THIRD PARTY, WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE AND PERMISSION OF THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT.
- 3) DEFT SHALL NOT POSSESS BINDINGS, RESTRAINTS, HANDCUFFS OR OTHE SADOMASOCHISTIC PARAPHERNALIAS.
- 4) UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED IN THE TREATMENT PLAN PROVIDED BY THE SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAM, THE DEFT IS PROHIBITED FROM VIEWING, OWNING OR POSSESSING ANY OBSCENE, PORNOGRAPHIC, OR SEXUALLY STIMULATING VISUAL OR AUDITORY MATERIAL INCLUDING TELEPHONE ELECTRONIC MEDIA, COMPUTER PROGRAMS, OR COMPUTER SERVICES THAT HAVE A REASONABLY DIRECT RELATIONSHIP TO THE OFFENDER'S DEVIANT BEHAVIOR PATTERN. THE TERM "PORNOGRAPHIC" AS USED IN DEFT'S CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE REFERS TO ANY VISUAL DEPICTION, INCLUDING ANY PHOTOGRAPH, FILM, VIDEO, PICTURE, OR COMPUTER OR COMPUTER-GENERATED IMAGE OR PICTURE, WETHER MADE OR PROUDUCED BY ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL, OR OTHER MEANS, OF SEXUALLY EXPLICIT CONDUCT. THE TERM "SEXUALLY EXPLICIT CONDUCT" MEANS ACTUAL OR SIMULATED (a) SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, INCLUDING GENITAL-GENITAL, ORAL-GENITAL, ANAL-GENITAL, OR ORAL-ANAL, WETHER BETWEEN PERSONS OF THE SAME OR OPPOSITE SEX; (b) BESTIALITY; (c) MASTURBASTION; (d) SADISTIC OR MASOCHISTIC ABUSE; OR (e) LASCIVIOUS EXHIBITION OF THE GENITALS OR PUBLIC AREA OF ANY PERSON.
- 5) DEFT IS NOT TO USE A COMPUTER, INTERNET CAPABLE DÉVICE, OR SIMILAR ELECTRONIC DÉVICE TO ACCESS PORNOGRAPHIC WEBSITES OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING WEBSITES DEPICTING SADOMASOCHISTIC IMAGES. DEFT SHALL COOPERATE WITH THE U.S. PROBATION DEPARTMENT'S COMPUTER AND INTERNET MONITORING PROGRAM. COOPERATION SHALL INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO, IDENTIFYING COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INTERNET CAPABLE DEVICES, AND/OR SIMILAR ELECTRONIC DEVICES THE DEFT HAS ACCESS TO, AND ALLOWING THE INSTALLATION OF MONITORING SOFTWARE/HARDWARE ON SAID DEVICES, AT THE DEFT'S EXPENSE. DEFT MAY BE LIMITED TO POSSESSING ONLY ONE PERSONAL INTERNET CAPABLE DEVICE, TO FACILITATE OUR DEPARTMENT'S ABILITY TO EFFECTIVELY MONITOR HIS INTERNET RELATED ACTIVITIES. DEFT SHALL ALSO PERMIT RANDOM EXAMINATIONS OF SAID COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INTERNET CAPABLE DEVICES, AND RELATED COMPUTER MEDIA, SUCH AS CD'S, UNDER HIS CONTROL.
- 6) DEFT SHALL SUBMIT HIS PERSON, RESIDENCE, PLACE OF BUSINESS, VEHICLE OR ANY OTHER PREMISES UNDER HIS CONTROL TO A SEARCH ON THE BASIS THAT THE PROBATION OFFICER HAS REASONABLE BELIEF THAT CONTRABAND OR EVIDENCE OF A VIOLATION OF THE CONDITIONS OF RELEASE MAY BE FOUND. THE SEARCH MUST ALSO BE CONDUCTED IN A REASONABLE MANNER AND AT A REASONABLE TIME; FAILURE TO SUBMIT TO A SEARCH MAY BE GROUNDS FOR REVOCATION AND THE DEFT SHALL INFORM ANY OTHER RESIDENT THAT THE PREMISES MAY BE SUBJECT TO SEARCH PURSUANT TO THIS CONDITION.
- 7) DEFT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE ORDER OF RESTITUTION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$54,497.00.

AO 245C + -	(Rev. 06/05) Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case
	Sheet 5 — Criminal Monetary Penalties

(NOTE: Identify Changes with Asterisks (*))

10

DEFENDANT: GLENN MARCUS CASE NUMBER: 05 CR 457 (ARR)

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES The defendant must pay the following total criminal monetary penalties under the schedule of payments on Sheet 6. <u>Fine</u> Restitution **TOTALS** S \$ 54,497.00 The determination of restitution is deferred until _____. An Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case (AO 245C) will be entered after such determination. The defendant shall make restitution (including community restitution) to the following payees in the amount listed below. If the defendant makes a partial payment, each payee shall receive an approximately proportioned payment, unless specified otherwise in the priority order or percentage payment column below. However, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i), all nonfederal victims must be paid before the United States is paid. Name of Pavee Total Loss* Restitution Ordered **Priority or Percentage TOTALS** Restitution amount ordered pursuant to plea agreement \$ The defendant must pay interest on restitution and a fine of more than \$2,500, unless the restitution or fine is paid in full before the fifteenth day after the date of the judgment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f). All of the payment options on Sheet 6 may be subject to penalties for delinquency and default, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(g). The court determined that the defendant does not have the ability to pay interest, and it is ordered that: restitution. the interest requirement is waived for ☐ fine restitution is modified as follows: the interest requirement for fine

^{*} Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

(Rev. 06/05) Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 6 — Schedule of Payments

(NOTE: Identify Changes with Asterisks (*))

DEFENDANT: GLENN MARCUS CASE NUMBER: 05 CR 457 (ARR)

6 Judgment — Page of

SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS

Hav	ing	assessed the defendant's ability to pay, payment of the total criminal monetary penalties shall be due as follows:
A		Lump sum payment of \$ due immediately, balance due
		☐ not later than, or ☐ in accordance with ☐ C, ☐ D, ☐ E, or ☐ F below; or
В		Payment to begin immediately (may be combined with C, D, or F below); or
C		Payment in equal (e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ over a period of (e.g., months or years), to commence (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after the date of this judgment; or
D		Payment in equal (e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ over a period of (e.g., months or years), to commence (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from imprisonment to a term of supervision; or
E		Payment during the term of supervised release will commence within (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from imprisonment. The court will set the payment plan based on an assessment of the defendant's ability to pay at that time; or
F	V	Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties:
		RESTITUTION IN THE AMOUNT OF 54,497.00 IS IMPOSED WHICH IS DUE IMMEDIATELY AND PAYABLE AT A RATE OF \$25.00 PER QUARTER WHILE IN CUSTODY, AND 10% OF GROSS INCOME PER MONTH WHILE ON SUPERVISED RELEASE. ALL PAYMENTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AT 225 CADMAN PLAZA EAST, BROOKLYN, NY 11201.
Unle duri Inm	ess tl ng th ate F	he court has expressly ordered otherwise, if this judgment imposes imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties is due ne period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalties, except those payments made through the Federal Bureau of Prisons' Financial Responsibility Program, are made to the clerk of the court.
The	defe	endant shall receive credit for all payments previously made toward any criminal monetary penalties imposed.
	Def	nt and Several fendant and Co-Defendant Names and Case Numbers (including defendant number), Joint and Several Amount, and responding payee, if appropriate.
	The	e defendant shall pay the cost of prosecution.
	The	e defendant shall pay the following court cost(s):
	The	e defendant shall forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States:
Pay (5)	ment fine i	ts shall be applied in the following order: (1) assessment, (2) restitution principal, (3) restitution interest, (4) fine principal, interest, (6) community restitution, (7) penalties, and (8) costs, including cost of prosecution and court costs.

position that you're relying on Mr. Sercarz' submission?

2

MS. WHALEN: Yes.

3

THE COURT: Is there anything else anyone wants to

4

say about that?

5

MS. WHALEN:

No. Your Honor.

6

THE COURT:

Okay. So the probation department has

There prior counsel had contested the calculation on

7

calculated the defendant's advisory guideline on the forced

8

labor count, now the only count of conviction, at level 37

9

criminal history category one, calling for a range of

10

imprisonment of 210 to 262 months.

11 12

calculation by joining in the arguments of prior trial counsel

Current defense counsel has contested this

13

in his letters of August 14th, 17th, and 23rd, 2007.

14

the ground that three of the enhancements applied were 15

16

erroneous, contending that their application would result in

17

impermissible double counting. Specifically, counsel disputed

18

on this ground the four level enhancement under guideline

19

2A3.1(b)(1) for use of force and threat of serious bodily

20 21 injury;

The two level enhancement under guideline

22

2A3.1(b)(4)(B) for the infliction of serious bodily injury;

23

And the two level enhancement under guideline 3A1.3 for physical restraint of the victim.

24 25

Each of these arguments of double counting was

1 addressed in detail and rejected at the outset of the prior 2 sentencing proceeding on September 7, 2007. As current counsel 3 has neither amended nor embellished the prior arguments. I 4 adhere to my reasoning as expressed on that date and hereby 5 incorporated by reference pages 4 to 13 of the prior 6 sentencing transcript in so far as they relate to the 7 guideline calculation on the forced labor count. 8 Accordingly, I find that the advisory sentencing 9 guideline is 210 to 262 months. 10 With that, Ms. Whalen, let me let you go ahead. 11 MS. WHALEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, just, my review of the case on appeal I am not exactly clear on what is left open in this case.

Clearly, sentencing remains an issue open for appeal. To the extent that there are any issues raised in the rule 29 and rule 33 motion, I will continue those motions.

I -- with respect to the sentencing guidelines, I am adding nothing no, so we accept the Court's prior ruling on that. This is simply to preserve any record for appeal.

THE COURT: You can preserve whatever exists on the record.

MS. WHALEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I guess my client maintains his innocence and I, however, am going to address what I think are the real -- what I see as the most difficult sentencing aspect

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of this case, and I think it's arisen in Mr. Marcus' prior history and his prior dealings with people through his business, through his friendships, through his mentoring of young people, and what I think we've demonstrated to the Court since his father's death and through the illness of his aunt and her subsequent death, I would think that the hardest issue for the Court to reconcile are the facts that were alleged at the trial that the jury found to be truthful -- the conduct that the jury found to be truthful at the trial, and every other aspect of Mr. Marcus' life where he demonstrates compassion, where he demonstrates empathy, where he demonstrates a loving and a caring and a gentleness of behavior that -- from reading the record, from reading the sentencing submission is in such stark contrast as to make it almost incomprehensible how these situations -- the situation could have arisen. I think that Dr. Berrill's evaluation is very telling in that the real danger with this kind of sexual activity is that an individual doesn't hear the word no and goes on to commit acts that were acceptable and perhaps sexually enjoyable when the word was yes, but once the word no is said turns into horrific acts.

I have asked the Court to consider a dramatically different sentence than the extraordinarily downward departure that you imposed on the last sentencing, and the reason for that, for the most part, is Mr. Marcus' mother who is here in

torture you put me through, the coat hanger brand, which
caused third degree burns, for which you would not let me get
medical attention, the cigarette burns, the knife carvings,
the piercing, the unstoppable beatings, etcetera.

You have impacted my family. My elderly parents
have such disgust, hatred towards you and what you did to me,
their baby girl.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have found humor in what you did to me, and countless other women. How a human being can see humor in the pain, torture, manipulation and brain washing of others is beyond my comprehension.

You, Glenn Marcus, have not only stated but have proven that you have no regard towards the laws this country.

I pray that you will get your just reward today for the life you have lived and the torture you have caused.

Today you may get a limited sentence on this earth but you have given me a life sentence.

You may be appearing before an earthly judge today but someday you will deal with god's court and his sentencing.

THE COURT: Is that complete?

JODY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, very much.

At the original 2007 sentencing, when there was a second conviction for sex trafficking but an identical advisory guideline calculation, I imposed a sentence of nine

1 years or 108 months imprisonment. I did so after weighing a 2 number of arguments in mitigation of sentence, several of 3 which are pursued again here. In addition to the circumstances 4 of the instant offense that render it outside the heartland of 5 a typical forced labor conviction, current counsel reasserts, under somewhat altered circumstances since defendant's first 6 7 sentence, the defendant's health issues and family responsibilities. Counsel also points to the five years or 8 9 five and a half years defendant has spent under house arrest 10 -- two years pending trial and additional three years pending appeal -- and has contended in a written submission based on 11 her interpretation of the psychosexual evaluation of Dr. 12 Berrill conducted in 2007 the defendant's risk of recidivism 13 and danger to the community would be satisfied by a sentence 14 15 of time served, now 16 months, to be followed by an additional five years of house arrest. For the reasons that follow, I am 16 not satisfied that the defense's requested sentence could 17 18 begin to satisfy the goals of sentence delineated in the 19 sentencing statute 3553(a). On the other hand, primarily in 20 reliance on the extended period of house arrest the defendant has undergone, much of which has been accompanied by the 21 22 pressures of incertitude concerning his future sentence, 23 coupled with some consideration of his somewhat deteriorated 24 health, that that might render incarceration more difficult

for him, and the mitigating circumstances identified at the

25

prior sentence, I conclude that a prison sentence only very moderately below the one previously posed is appropriate.

Turning first to the nature and circumstances of the offense, as the defense acknowledges, the crime of forced labor achieved through facts constituting aggravated sexual abuse is a very serious offense. But the seriousness of defendant's conduct cannot be fairly quantified without reference to the evidence in the trial record establishing the horrific cruelty and violence he visited upon his unwilling victim. The Court of Appeals very aptly summarized some of that evidence in its most recent opinion, and we've heard of it again from the government, as well as from the victim.

Marcus' physical and psychological torture of Jody persisted over a lengthy period of time and has resulted in lasting physical and mental injury. Indeed, as we have just heard, injury to this day.

This was established not on by the victim's impact statement, but also, by psychiatric records documenting that the abuse by the defendant resulted in profound and sustained psychological trauma that necessitated medical intervention over a lengthy period of time. The records also documented diagnoses of depression, anxiety and PTSD that continued through the last sentence and based on the statement of the victim, certainly continue to this time.

Thus viewed in the light of the record of evidence,

the conduct underlying defendant's conviction was of aggravated seriousness. After brutally tormenting, terrorizing and torturing his victim, he further degraded and exploited her by posting her pictures on his website from which he earned income and forced her to manage the website without compensation.

On the other hand, as I stated at the original sentence, I agree that the nature and circumstances of the offense renders defendant's case outside the heartland of cases that Congress intended the guidelines to encompass. In particular, I find that the BDSM context in which Marcus' conduct occurred was not considered by the Sentencing Commission in formulating the guidelines and constitutes a mitigating factor. The government has never taken a position on this argument and the probation department has agreed that the nature of the relationship between the defendant and the victim may make this case atypical and may be a mitigating factor.

Although it is clear that the forced labor guideline and the corresponding cross reference to the criminal sexual abuse guideline are literally applicable, the guidelines do not appear to contemplate the type of behavior at issue in this case, namely the involvement of both the defendant and the victim in an alternative sexual lifestyle that blends sex and violence. In the various incidents described by the

victim, the sexual abuse, use of force, and physical restraint were each intertwined to an unusual extent and in a manner that differentiates this case from the type of conduct within the purview of the Sentencing Commission when constructing the forced labor and equal abuse guidelines. The unusual aspects of this case, which is unlike any with which I am familiar, mitigates somewhat the seriousness of the offense.

As to the history and the characteristics of the defendant, he is a 58 year-old divorced man with one adult daughter and no prior convictions. Apart from the Slavespace website that is part of this case, he has a scant employment history and has admitted that he was often supported almost entirely by the women with whom he lived.

It is of considerable concern that, according to the undisputed evaluation of Dr. Berrill, defendant takes no responsibility for his criminal conduct, instead blaming the victim, calling her a liar, insisting that everything done to her was consensual, and characterizing her as delusional in the claims she has made against him. Defendant's complete lack of self-reproach or remorse, coupled with his insistence that his conduct was perfectly justified as he was the master and she was his slave is especially troubling as, according to Dr. Berrill, defendant's grandiose view of himself and his narcissim contribute to his inability to empathize with his victim and fuel his failure in judgment in assessing whether

the response of his victim to his extreme aggression is, in fact, consensual.

Defense counsel has identified three personal history issues urged to be mitigating in defendant's case -- his health, the circumstance of his elderly and ailing mother, and the lengthy period of time he has spent on house arrest pending trial and the completion of the appellate process. While these matters warrant some consideration in assessing a proper balance of the sentencing factors, I view them as only marginally mitigating.

Even before his initial incarceration, it was known that defendant was suffering from coronary artery disease, hypertension, emphysema, gastroesophageal reflux disease, dyslipidemia, diverticulosis, pulmonary nodules, obesity and anxiety. Counsel now argues primarily that his condition deteriorated as a result of his confinement, and is even further complicated by recent diagnoses of diabetes, leg edema, and possible liver or gall bladder issues. While counsel complains that defendant asserts that a lack of treatment during his incarceration at Fort Dix exacerbated the seriousness of his ailments, particularly his heart problems, defendant's doctors advise that diet, exercise, and weight loss have improved defendant's physical condition and counsel has not persuaded me that such self-help cannot continue during incarceration. Moreover, after reviewing the

defendant's medical records, the Bureau of Prisons assured the Court that it is well equipped to handle defendant's condition, that it is able to provide the medication he is currently prescribed, and that if designated to a general population institution, he would likely be assigned to five identified specialized clinics that together target all of his health issues. Although his medical conditions should be accorded some weight in his sentence, they do not warrant significant weight.

The circumstances of defendant's 83 year-old infirm mother are also extremely unfortunate, but I am in agreement with the government that they merit little weight in the calculation of his sentence. The record establishes that she has access to ample financial resources to ensure proper care through outside help or home health aides, and her other son and her granddaughter -- defendant's brother and adult daughter -- are both in a position to assist in providing Mrs. Marcus with care and support, albeit at some level of inconvenience to themselves. Under the circumstances, the defendant's presence is hardly indispensable to insure his mother's welfare.

Finally, I am in agreement with defense counsel that defendant's lengthy period of house arrest, which pending appeal is a total of three years, and together with pretrial, house arrest, over five years, is an appropriate factor to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consider in sentence, but of course, as the government observes, it is not the equivalent of incarceration. In my view -- not disputed by the government -- defendant's five-year home detention warrants a limited reduction in sentence both because of the duration of the constraints placed on defendant and because defendant will be five years older at the time of his release than he would have been absent the delay.

Turning to the needs of deterrence, the risks of recidivism, and the need for just punishment and the protection of the public, I am in marked disagreement with defense counsel's written submission with respect to her interpretation of the report of the psychosexual evaluation performed by Dr. Berrill. Largely in reliance on the statement in the report that defendant is not a danger to the public "per se," counsel concludes that Dr. Berrill finds no need to incarcerate the defendant "to achieve punishment, deterrence or protection of the community." In my view the actual context of this statement in the report compels a contrary conclusion. As Dr. Berrill's explicitly clarifies, because of the defendant's pronounced narcissim, impaired judgment and lack of empathy, defendant remains at serious risk of not only continued participation in BDSM activities, but also of participation in such conduct with unconsenting victims, plainly presenting a persistent danger of the

ROUGH DRAFT DO NOT FILE

identical degrading, brutal and dangerous conduct that is the subject of this case.

In a similar vein to this argument, counsel explains that her client did not voluntarily seek psychological treatment in the intervening years, not because of any dangerousness, but because of his lack of insight and judgment. The reasoning is circular. It is precisely the defendant's lack of insight and judgment that render him a persistent danger to others, and a potential, if not likely, recidivist, as it is doubtful that he will avoid such misdeeds in the future if he does not or adamantly refuses to acknowledge the wrongfulness of his conduct towards his victim in this case.

Based on all of the factors and circumstances discussed above, I conclude that an incarceratory sentence of 96 months is sufficient but not unduly severe to an accomplish the goals of sentencing. I strongly recommend that defendant be placed in the Sex Offender Treatment Program at FCI Devons as soon as he becomes eligible, as I view treatment as essential for protection of the community.

I, therefore, sentence the defendant to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 96 months, to be followed by a five-year period of supervised release with the following special conditions:

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

He is required to makes restitution in the amount of

\$54,497 due immediately and payable to the Clerk of Court at the rate of \$25 per quarter while in custody and ten percent of gross income per month while on supervised release.

The defendant shall participate in a mental health treatment program which may include participation in a treatment program for sexual disorders as approved by the probation department.

The defendant shall contribute to the cost of such services rendered for any psychotropic medications prescribed by copayment or full payment in amount to be determined by the probation department based on defendant's ability to pay and/or the availability of third party payment.

As a result of the treatment -- as part of the treatment program for sexual disorder, the defendant will participate in polygraph examinations to obtain information necessary for risk management and correctional treatment.

The defendant shall not have contact with the victim in the instant offense. This means he should not attempt to make in-person contact, communicate by letters, telephone or through a third party without the knowledge and permission of the probation department.

The defendant shall not possess bindings, restraints, handcuffs or other sadomasochistic paraphernalia. Unless otherwise indicated in the treatment plan provided by the Sex Offender Treatment Program, the defendant is

ROUGH DRAFT DO NOT FILE

prohibited from viewing, owning or possessing any obscene, pornographic or sexually stimulating visual or auditory material, including telephone, electronic media, computer programs, or computer services that have a reasonably direct relationship to the offender's deviant behavior pattern.

The defendant is not to use a internet capable device or similar electronic device to access pornographic websites of any kind, including websites depicting sadomasochistic images.

The defendant shall cooperate with the U.S.

Probation Department Computer and Internet Monitoring Program.

Cooperation should include, but not be limited to, identifying computer systems, internet capable devices and/or similar electronic devices the defendant has access to, and allowing the installation of monitoring software, hardware on said devices at the defendant's expense.

The defendant may be limited to possessing only one personal internet capable device to facilitate the probation department's ability to effectively monitor his internet related activities.

The defendant shall also permit random examinations of his computer symptoms, internet capable devices, similar electronic devices, and related computer media such as CDs, under his control.

The defendant shall submit his, person, residence,

place of business, vehicle or any other premises under his control to a search on the basis that the probation officer has reasonable belief that contraband or evidence of a violation of conditions of release may be found. The search must be conducted in a reasonable manner and at a reasonable time.

Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation, and the defendant shall inform any other resident of the premises that the premises may be subject to search pursuant to this condition.

The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition or destructive device.

I understand that the mandatory special assessment of \$100 has already been paid by the defendant.

Is there a request for designation?

MS. WHALEN: I would ask request a category three or category care facility with the Bureau of Prisons as close to New York as possible.

MS. CHEN: Your Honor, may I make one additional request with respect to the supervised release? You had mentioned that the defendant cannot contact the victim. Could we add as a form of prohibited communication via the internet or by any electronic media?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. CHEN: Also the defendant is precluded from

posting anything on the internet about the victim.

THE COURT: Yes. Definitely.

Mr. Marcus, as you know, you may appeal the sentence. A notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days. As, as I understand it, you cannot afford counsel, so counsel will be appointed to represent you on appeal.

The misse ত ভাৰীয়া সিংক (ভালাক্তাত্ৰ সংগ্ৰহীয় ও নিচাই**লা** خ ا on not asking